

Is Augmented Reality ready for the warehouse?

A comparative study of user acceptance in human-robot collaborative order fulfillment

Aleksander Burkiewicz^{1,2}, Hoang-Long Cao^{1,3*}, Sandra Salloum¹,
Milan Amighi^{1,2}, Nima Roshandel^{1,2,4}, Dylan Sisavath^{1,2}, Yuwen
Shen^{1,2,4,5}, Hamed Firouzipouyaei^{1,2}, Constantin Scholz^{1,2},
Antonio Paolillo^{1,5}, Bram Vanderborght^{1,2}

¹Brubotics, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium.

²imec-IMS, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium.

³College of Engineering, Can Tho University, Vietnam.

⁴KU Leuven, Belgium.

⁵Software Language Labs, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): hoang.long.cao@vub.be;
chlong@ctu.edu.vn;

Abstract

E-commerce has grown rapidly, but high return rates pose economic and environmental challenges, with fulfillment errors as a key factor. While Augmented Reality (AR) has the potential to reduce such errors, the adoption of this technology in SMEs remains limited. With inputs from our recently conducted survey of SME employees across 17 European countries, we developed an AR-based order fulfillment system to investigate the ecological validity of this technology in the warehouse. For validation, we conducted an empirical user acceptance study comparing AR-based and paper-based approaches in a human-robot collaborative order fulfillment system. Results indicate a strong interest in AR, with important increases in perceived enjoyment and social influence. Positively, AR does not lead to a higher level of anxiety. However, paper-based methods demonstrated higher scores for task completion time and intention to use. Findings highlight the potential of AR in the warehouse, but also the need for improved interfaces and hardware to enable broader adoption.

Note: This is a preprint of an article published in *Intelligent Service Robotics*, vol. 19, no. 4, art. no. 63, 2026. The final version is available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11370-026-00722-5>.

Keywords: e-commerce, order fulfillment, augmented reality, human-robot collaboration, user acceptance, Almere

1 Introduction

Electronic commerce (e-commerce) refers to the sale and purchase of goods and services through the Internet or other online communication networks transactions. From 2018 to 2023, the turnover of e-commerce in the European Union has increased by 65%, and in 2023 alone, 68% of the EU-27 population bought physical goods online [1]. With the ever-spreading digitalisation of life, the steady growth of e-commerce turnover and penetration in Europe is forecasted to continue, furthering the transition from brick-and-mortar to online retailing.

However, the growing number of online purchases brings on increased numbers of purchase returns in consequence. Statistics for the year 2024 show that in the European Union, the return rates per online buyer reached 54% of transactions [2]. Returns induce losses in additional logistics expenses, as the goods must be transported back to the retailer, increased labour costs due to inspection and restocking of the returned items, as well as reduce the margin percentage if the item can be resold at all [3]. At least 5% of returns is due to incorrect or damaged goods shipped [4]. E-commerce produces over 3 times the amount of CO₂ emissions compared to brick-and-mortar retailing [5]. The major contributors to the increased environmental footprint are the transport of goods to customers and the additional packaging necessary in online retail [6]. Purchase returns further increase the impact on the environment as the goods are transported back to the seller; if they cannot be resold, the items become pure waste [7].

Lowering the number of returns and optimising the process of return handling to limit the footprint is one of the key points in sustainability efforts made by European SMEs, according to the European E-Commerce Report 2023 [1]. Efforts towards meeting this goal can be twofold. On the one hand, a substantial part of return causes, like items not meeting expectations or customers ordering with the intention to return, can be addressed from the level of sales and marketing. Strategies to decrease the number of returns for these reasons include reintroduction of return fees for customers, providing detailed product descriptions based on photos and videos of the item, introduction of sales of repaired or refurbished goods. On the other hand, returns often stem from order fulfillment errors and warehouse issues. Order fulfillment errors refer to incorrect items, incorrect quantities of ordered items or damaged items being delivered to the customer, and constitute the vast majority of warehouse-related reasons of purchase returns [8]. There are several approaches to limiting such errors. Widely-used methods include implementation of automated storage and retrieval systems [9] or manual paperless picking systems (handheld barcode or RFID scanners, voice picking and pick-to-light systems) [10]. Automated storage and retrieval systems follow the parts-to-picker approach. Based on paper or digital picking lists, the picker requests items, which are then retrieved from corresponding locations and transported to them in containers using cranes, carousels, conveyors or lifts. In manual paperless picking,

the picker still needs to retrieve the items from the warehouse locations themselves. Replacing paper lists, picking information can be conveyed by voice over headphones (voice picking) or using lights attached to shelves and containers highlighting pick locations (pick-to-light). Confirming the picking action can be realised by using systems like speech recognition and microphones, in which the picker verbally confirms picked items (voice picking), push buttons installed at each picking location, or hand-held scanners (RFID or barcode), which communicate with the warehouse system and are often able to provide audio signal feedback [10].

Lately, Augmented Reality (AR) applications have been increasingly implemented in warehouses for worker support [11]. AR refers to environments where the real world is augmented with virtual objects, such as digital data overlays, but where the physical world is still dominant [12]. Various AR systems targeting warehouse operations have been developed, supporting workers in tasks like order picking, stocking or shelving, ranging from displays mounted on picking carts [13], flexible displays mounted on robotic arms [14] to monocular [15] and classic Head-Mounted Displays (HMDs) [16]. Such AR systems have already displayed potential to become an alternative solution to limit order fulfillment errors [17].

In order to investigate the ecological validity of AR in order fulfillment, we previously conducted a survey as part of the the EU-funded Horizon Europe project OPENVERSE. While the use of AR technologies in large companies continues to rise, SMEs show a lower rate of such technology adoption [18]. The survey targeted employees of SMEs across Europe to assess their willingness and attitudes toward adopting AR technology in the workplace. We received a total of 53 responses from 17 European countries, with an average of 4.04 years of experience in SMEs related to order fulfillment. Among the respondents, 51% indicated that their orders are picked and packaged manually, leading to higher rates of fulfillment errors. Specifically, 37% reported encountering errors in task completion often or very often. The most common sources of fulfillment errors include missing items (60.4%), incorrect items picked (47.2%), incorrect quantities (34.0%), and damaged items (32.1%).

The survey results confirmed the willingness of using AR technology in order fulfillment. Among the respondents, 13.2% have used AR in their workplace. Regarding the potential adoption, 67.9% of respondents see themselves using it in the near future, and eventually, 51% expressed a willingness to use an AR system in their workplace now. When using AR in warehouse operations, 83% of respondents expect increased productivity, 69.8% expect decreased physical workload, and 64.2% foresee reduced mental workload. They also expressed concerns related to comfort and well-being, including issues such as headaches, eye strain, and motion sickness. Technical malfunctions of AR devices are potential problems that could arise from implementing AR systems to address current challenges in order fulfillment.

Willingness to adopt AR of SMEs does not guarantee actual user acceptance, which is as a crucial factor for AR adoption in companies [18, 19]. Therefore, in this work, we conducted an empirical user acceptance study to assess its real-world viability and confirm its potential. With the advancement of robotics in warehouse, we selected a use case in which a collaborative robot brings partially packed orders to a human worker, who checks the contents of the box against the order picking list and picks

the remaining items from indicated shelf locations; upon completion of the order, the robot takes the box away. We decided to include a collaborative robot in the use case scenario following the current advancement of warehouse robotics and automated picking systems [20], and the rising trend among companies in striving for high level of automation and autonomy in warehouse operations. Furthermore, the integration of collaborative robots is consistent with the core principles of Industry 5.0, which emphasize placing human well-being and safety at the centre of the production process, thereby empowering workers through supportive automation [21–24]. For this task, we developed a complete AR-based order fulfillment application, which is used to lead the worker to subsequent items to be picked, indicate the specific item and correct quantity, and confirm picking action. Offering intuitive and permanent guidance for the employees, a tailored AR graphical user interface remaining in the worker’s field of view shows potential to streamline order checking, increase efficiency and reduce error rates [15, 25, 26]. The selected hardware is Head-Mounted Displays, which offer the operator freedom of motion with persistence of content characteristic of wearable devices, in contrast to camera-and-projector set-ups, and enable graphically rich, immersive 3D interfaces, yet unavailable for smart glasses.

The experimental validation was based on the Almere model, an established theory of technology acceptance in human–robot interaction [27]. The Almere model extends the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) [28] and incorporates constructs from the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) [29]. Using the constructs of the Almere model, we aimed at measuring both instrumental beliefs (e.g., usefulness, ease of use) and social-emotional dimensions (e.g., enjoyment, trust) that shape behavioral intention and actual use of a system. We then compared the user acceptance of the AR-based system with the traditional paper-based method – the most conventional, practical, and prevalent method in today’s warehouse operations, particularly within SMEs.

The contributions of this study are as follows.

- We gathered key barriers and drivers of AR adoption from a cross-European SME survey, highlighting hardware limitations and user comfort as critical factors for future uptake.
- We designed and validated an AR interface for robot-assisted order fulfillment aimed at reducing error rates through intuitive visual cues, real-time feedback, and robot-to-human communication.
- We conducted a within-participant experiment on user acceptance study of AR systems, comparing AR and paper-based methods, to support the ecological validity of introducing AR technologies in warehouses.

2 Design of the Augmented Reality order fulfillment interface

2.1 The order fulfillment task

We selected an order fulfillment task that involves verifying the contents of three separate boxes, each corresponding to a distinct customer order. The task requires the




Fig. 1 The experimental setup. A user checks items in each other with assistance from a UR10e robot. A compartment shelf is placed next to the workplace and contains items to fill in the boxes. (A) AR-based interface; (B) Paper-based.

user to inspect each box, identify any missing items, and retrieve those items from a designated shelf to complete the order. This industrial use case reflects an actual order fulfillment process carried out by entry-level workers. It does not require prior experience in logistics or specialized training.

The setup include two conveyors to carry boxes before and after checking, a workplace where the user checks the items inside each box, a compartment shelf that contains items to fill in, and an UR10e robot to bring and take away boxes, see Fig. 1. The task flow is outlined in Fig. 2 and demonstrated in detail in a YouTube video, available at: <https://youtu.be/5HAcKcJIjfk>. First, the participant had to request a partially fulfilled order from the robot by selecting “Start Picking” in the AR interface. Upon receiving the box from the robot from conveyor 1, the participant’s task at the workplace was to verify the contents of the box against either the corresponding paper picking list, matched to the box by order ID, or by following the augmented picking list within the AR application. If an item specified on the list was not found inside the box, the participants had to locate it on the compartment shelf, pick the item in the specified quantity, and place it in the box. When an order was complete, the participants were asked to place the box within a yellow-colored area such that it could be taken away by the robotic arm to conveyor 2 upon their confirmation. This flow has to be repeated three times to complete the task.

2.2 Interface content

The application was designed to help workers check and complete the orders, addressing the most common sources of order fulfillment errors, i.e. missing items, incorrect quantities or wrong items picked. For each order, an augmented list of required items was presented on an item-by-item basis. The interface displayed the order ID, the subsequent item’s name and picture, its position in the order e.g., 1/3, 2/3 etc., and the quantity required. The item name and quantity were written in bold letters and different colors to attract the user’s attention and prevent picking the wrong item or the wrong quantity. A confirmation whether the item in the correct quantity could




Fig. 2 Task flow diagram. Relevant steps are illustrated with corresponding AR interface content.

be found in the box was necessary to proceed to the next item on the list. If an item could not be found in the box, the application assisted the users in picking the missing items by providing augmented location indications. A panel containing the item's location was displayed and a green frame highlighted the item's location on the compartment shelf, to provide intuitive and effortless guidance towards the item. After the item was picked from the shelf, the user was prompted to double-check that the correct quantity was in the box. This double check was meant to ensure the worker

was aware of the required quantity and reduce the risk of mistakes. If the order could not be completed for any reason, a panel asking to set the box aside and move on to the next order would appear. To ensure safe and efficient human-robot collaboration, the interface provided information on the robot’s movements - when bringing or taking away a box - through a dedicated panel describing the current action of the robot and asking users to stand by. In addition to serving as a robot-to-human communication layer, the purpose of these panels was to reduce potential anxiety linked to unexpected robot behaviour or prevent collisions and unnecessary stops due to the robot being disturbed by the human. The colors used in the interface were selected in accordance with the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG), to ensure clarity and accessibility of the interface for all users.

2.3 Technology stack and hardware

We used Meta Quest 3 as the HMD in this study. The application was developed in the Unity 3D development software with custom C# scripts handling the application behaviour and the interface logic. The following packages provided by Unity were used for this application: the XR Interaction Toolkit package enabled the interactions with the interface, the XR Hands package allowed the interaction with the UI hands instead of using the controllers, to ensure a hands-free experience. The Meta XR All-in-One SDK and OpenXR SDK packages were used to ensure compatibility and streamline application deployment to the chosen HMD.

3 Validation: User acceptance study

3.1 Experimental design

We designed a within-participant experiment to investigate the effects of an AR order fulfillment interface on different aspects of technology acceptance. Each participant experienced an order completion and verification task with the robot in two conditions: *AR-based* and *paper-based*, in a counter-balanced order. The paper-based condition was selected as the baseline because it reflects the most conventional, practical, and widely used method in current warehouse operations, especially among SMEs. The experiment obtained ethical approval from the institutional ethics committee (ECHW_594).

3.2 Participants

We recruited participants through our professional networks and affiliated communities. Eligibility criteria required individuals to be of working age and representative of entry-level workers, ensuring alignment with the target user profile for the industrial task. The sample size was estimated by using G*Power [30]. With an effect size from medium to large (d: 0.5-0.8), an alpha level of 0.05, and a power of 0.8, the suggested sample size should be between 15-34. In total, we recruited 27 participants, including 20 men and 7 women, with a mean age of 25.3 years (SD=3.44). All participants were volunteers who fully understood the purpose of the study and confirmed that they did

not suffer from any mental or physical disorders, neurological conditions, epilepsy, or color blindness. They did not have experience working with AR systems.

3.3 Procedure and Measurements

Before the start of the experiment, participants received information leaflets and informed consent forms. We informed them of the general purpose of the experiment, which was the comparison of different technologies in order fulfillment scenarios with collaborative robots. The participants had the opportunity to ask questions about the experiment and the informed consent form. Upon signing the informed consent form, we asked them to fill in basic demographic information.

In the experiment, a participant performed the order fulfillment task with the robot in two conditions: AR-based and paper-based, each lasting up to 10 mins. The participants were asked to complete three orders by verifying the contents of the box brought to them by the robot and picking the missing items from the compartment shelf. After each condition, we assessed their opinion on nine constructs of the Almere robot acceptance model using a 5-point Likert scale: anxiety, intention to use, perceived enjoyment, perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, facilitating conditions, attitude, social influence, and trust [27]. In addition, we also measured the completion time. Concluding the session, we provided a detailed explanation of the purpose of the experiment. After the experiment, the participants have the right to withdraw from the study by requesting erasure of their data. The collected data is pseudonymised and securely stored at the VUB Institutional Data Repository.

3.4 Data analysis

Data analysis was conducted using Python using `scipy` and `pingouin` packages. Normality and homogeneity were assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk and Bartlett tests. The significance level was set at 0.05.

3.5 Results

We conducted ten paired-sample tests on the collected data, see Fig. 3. Depending on the results of the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality and Bartlett’s test for homogeneity of variances, either a paired t-test or the non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied to each construct. The results showed that the AR-based condition received significantly higher ratings on perceived enjoyment ($M_{\text{Paper}} = 3.25$, $SD = 1.09$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 4.19$, $SD = 0.76$; $W = 18.5$; $p < 0.001$; $rbc = 0.87$) and social influence ($M_{\text{Paper}} = 3.57$, $SD = 0.87$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 3.91$, $SD = 0.69$; $W = 32.5$; $p < 0.05$; $rbc = 0.5$). In contrast, the paper-based conditions received significantly higher ratings in intention to use ($M_{\text{Paper}} = 3.64$, $SD = 1.09$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 3.07$, $SD = 1.17$; $t(26) = 2.41$; $p < 0.05$; $d = 0.49$) and completion time ($M_{\text{Paper}} = 6.31$, $SD = 0.64$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 7.81$, $SD = 0.94$; $t(26) = 9.98$; $p < 0.001$; $d = 1.84$).

No significant differences were found in other constructs: anxiety ($M_{\text{Paper}} = 2.22$, $SD = 0.74$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 2.28$, $SD = 0.92$; $t(26) = 0.28$; n.s), perceived ease of use ($M_{\text{Paper}} = 4.37$, $SD = 0.59$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 4.19$, $SD = 0.62$; $W = 112$; n.s), perceived usefulness ($M_{\text{Paper}} = 3.48$, $SD = 0.83$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 3.74$, $SD = 1.02$; $W = 100$; n.s), facilitating conditions




Fig. 3 Experimental results. Participants demonstrated a higher level of perceived enjoyment and social influence in the AR-based condition but a lower level of intention to use and completion time.

($M_{\text{Paper}} = 4.26$, $SD = 0.92$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 4.09$, $SD = 0.9$; $W = 51.5$; n.s), attitude ($M_{\text{Paper}} = 3.38$, $SD = 0.76$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 3.63$, $SD = 0.98$; $t(26) = 1.71$; n.s), and trust ($M_{\text{Paper}} = 3.74$, $SD = 0.82$; $M_{\text{AR}} = 3.96$, $SD = 0.91$; $W = 42.5$; n.s).

4 Discussion

In this study, we explored the potential of the implementation of AR systems in order fulfillment tasks by a survey of European SME employees and a technology acceptance study. Our findings revealed positive effects of AR on workers, but also the need for the technology to mature for broader adoption.

In the survey, respondents showed positive attitudes and strong interest in adopting AR technology in the workplace, expecting improvements in efficiency and accuracy. They viewed AR as a valuable tool for enhancing task performance and reducing errors and would like to adopt the technology in the near future and even now. However, many raised concerns about the current hardware's impact on health and well-being, citing issues like eye strain, discomfort, and fatigue from prolonged use. These concerns highlight the need for more ergonomic and user-friendly AR devices to support sustainable adoption in professional settings.

In the comparative study of technology acceptance, the AR system demonstrated a significant positive impact by increasing perceived enjoyment and social influence among users. Participants found the AR experience more engaging and entertaining than traditional systems, likely due to its immersive and interactive nature, which enhances user satisfaction and emotional involvement. Additionally, the use of AR also

had a positive perceived impact on social influence, as users may have perceived the technology as innovative and socially valued, thereby encouraging adoption through peer approval or perceived prestige. Importantly, despite being a novel technology for most participants, the AR system did not result in heightened anxiety. This suggests that when thoughtfully designed, AR interfaces can feel intuitive and user-friendly, mitigating the stress often associated with unfamiliar digital tools. These findings indicate that AR has strong potential for promoting user acceptance by combining enjoyable experiences with social encouragement, without the drawback of increased technological anxiety.

However, the task completion time in the AR-based condition was slightly higher than in the paper-based one. This can be linked to participants having no experience in working with AR technology and highlights the necessity of a learning process. Previous studies have indicated the level of tech savviness in employees as an important factor in user performance and resulting job satisfaction when using AR devices. Additional training to improve tech savviness in employees or technology familiarisation were deemed crucial for successful adoption [17, 18]. Completion time can be improved when the users grow accustomed to the AR interface content and layout, as well as controlling the AR interface. Becoming familiar with the application, the workers would no longer need the additional time to orient themselves and read through prompts and interface; their interactions with the user interface elements would grow more natural and require less focus and attention diverted from the task itself. Furthermore, improving the design of the interface itself would also contribute to reducing the task completion time. Foreseen changes towards this goal would include reducing the number of confirmations necessary to complete one order and allowing a better overview of the entire order instead of providing necessary information solely on an item-by-item basis.

Further developments in hardware (e.g. AR contact lenses or lighter AR glasses) could translate to increased intention to use. Both experiment participants and survey respondents mentioned comfort concerns when asked about the use of current AR hardware like classic HMDs - eye strain and adverse effects on eyesight, nausea, headaches or neck pain were frequently mentioned. Lighter, more compact devices, like smart glasses, offering the capabilities of current HMDs are expected to significantly improve the intention to use aspect, which was reflected in the survey results.

5 Conclusion

This study investigated the potential of AR to support warehouse workers in order fulfillment tasks and reduce errors in e-commerce operations, particularly within European SMEs. Survey results revealed strong interest in AR among SME employees, with expectations of increased productivity and reduced workload. We developed a complete AR-based order fulfillment system and conducted a user acceptance study to investigate the ecological validity of AR technology in the warehouse. Our user study confirmed higher perceived enjoyment and social influence for AR-based systems, though paper-based methods still outperformed in task completion time and intention to use. These findings indicate that while AR holds promise for improving

fulfillment accuracy, significant barriers remain in hardware usability. Future efforts should focus on refining interface design and addressing ergonomic concerns to support wider adoption of AR in warehouse environments.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank all participants for their time, valuable insights, and contributions to this research.

Declarations

Funding. The work leading to these results has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program as part of the OPEN and co-created ViRtual worldS for Europe (OPENVERSE) project under Grant Agreement No. 101135701, the imec SAFEBOt program, and the Flemish Government under the program “Onderzoeksprogramma Artificiële Intelligentie (AI) Vlaanderen”.

Conflict of Interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data Availability. Data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethical Statement. The authors declare that this research was conducted in full accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and has obtained ethical approval from the Vrije Universiteit Brussel (ECHW_594). Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants involved in the study.

Declaration of Generative AI. We used Microsoft Copilot during the preparation of this manuscript to assist with language refinement. All content was reviewed and verified by the authors to ensure accuracy and integrity. We respectfully request that this manuscript be reviewed by human experts. If AI tools are used in the review process, we kindly ask that the positive contributions and research effort of the authors be acknowledged, and that constructive feedback be provided to support meaningful revisions for publication.

References

- [1] Lone, S., Weltevreden, J.: European e-commerce report 2023. Amsterdam/Brussels: Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences & E-commerce Europe. 105 p (2023)
- [2] Statista: How Common Are Online Returns? (2025). <https://www.statista.com/chart/27584/how-common-are-online-returns-gcs/> Accessed 2025-05-09
- [3] Retail, A., Federation, N.R.: Consumer Returns in the Retail Industry 2021. Source: Appriss Retail and National Retail Federation 2021 Returns Survey. Oct-Nov 2021 (2021)
- [4] Eurostat Source: https://doi.org/10.2908/ISOC_EC_IPRB21, 2024-10-02 (2024)
- [5] Escursell, S., Llorach-Massana, P., Roncero, M.B.: Sustainability in e-commerce packaging: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **280**, 124314 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124314>

- [6] Mangiaracina, R., Marchet, G., Perotti, S., Tumino, A.: A review of the environmental implications of b2c e-commerce: a logistics perspective. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management* **45**(6), 565–591 (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-06-2014-0133>
- [7] Frei, R., Jack, L., Brown, S.: Product returns: a growing problem for business, society and environment. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* **40**(10), 1613–1621 (2020)
- [8] Helm, M., Malikova, A., and, J.K.: Rooting out the root causes of order fulfilment errors: a multiple case study. *International Journal of Production Research* **62**(11), 3853–3871 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2023.2251060>
- [9] Roodbergen, K.J., Vis, I.F.A.: A survey of literature on automated storage and retrieval systems. *European Journal of Operational Research* **194**(2), 343–362 (2009) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2008.01.038>
- [10] Battini, D., Calzavara, M., Persona, A., Sgarbossa, F.: A comparative analysis of different paperless picking systems. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* **115**(3), 483–503 (2015)
- [11] Grosse, E.H.: Application of supportive and substitutive technologies in manual warehouse order picking: a content analysis. *International Journal of Production Research* **62**(3), 685–704 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2023.2169383>
- [12] Adriana Cárdenas-Robledo, L., Hernández-Urbe, Reta, C., Antonio Cantoral-Ceballos, J.: Extended reality applications in industry 4.0. – a systematic literature review. *Telematics and Informatics* **73**, 101863 (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2022.101863>
- [13] Guo, A., Raghu, S., Xie, X., Ismail, S., Luo, X., Simoneau, J., Gilliland, S., Baumann, H., Southern, C., Starner, T.: A comparison of order picking assisted by head-up display (hud), cart-mounted display (cmd), light, and paper pick list. In: *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers. ISWC '14*, pp. 71–78. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2634317.2634321> . <https://doi.org/10.1145/2634317.2634321>
- [14] Scholz, C., Cao, H.-L., El Makrini, I., Niehaus, S., Kaufmann, M., Cheyns, D., Roshandel, N., Burkiewicz, A., Shhaitly, M., Imrith, E., Genoe, J., Rottenberg, X., Gerets, P., Vanderborght, B.: Improving robot-to-human communication using flexible display technology as a robotic-skin-interface: a co-design study. *International Journal of Intelligent Robotics and Applications* **9**(1), 146–163 (2025) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41315-024-00343-0>
- [15] Weaver, K.A., Baumann, H., Starner, T., Iben, H., Lawo, M.: An empirical task analysis of warehouse order picking using head-mounted displays. In: *Proceedings*

- of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, pp. 1695–1704 (2010)
- [16] Wang, Wei, Wang, Fei, Song, Wei, Su, Shun: Application of augmented reality (ar) technologies in inhouse logistics. *E3S Web Conf.* **145**, 02018 (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202014502018>
 - [17] Windhausen, A., Heller, J., Hilken, T., Mahr, D., Di Palma, R., Quintens, L.: Exploring the impact of augmented reality smart glasses on worker well-being in warehouse order picking. *Computers in Human Behavior* **155**, 108153 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2024.108153>
 - [18] Jalo, H., Pirkkalainen, H., Torro, O., Pessot, E., Zangiacomi, A., Tepljakov, A.: Extended reality technologies in small and medium-sized european industrial companies: level of awareness, diffusion and enablers of adoption. *Virtual Reality* **26**(4), 1745–1761 (2022)
 - [19] Windhausen, A., Heller, J., Hilken, T., Mahr, D., Di Palma, R., Quintens, L.: Exploring the impact of augmented reality smart glasses on worker well-being in warehouse order picking. *Computers in Human Behavior* **155**, 108153 (2024)
 - [20] Yang, X., Hua, G., Hu, L., Cheng, T., Huang, A.: Joint optimization of order sequencing and rack scheduling in the robotic mobile fulfilment system. *Computers & Operations Research* **135**, 105467 (2021)
 - [21] Chu, T.S., Culaba, A.B., Jose, J.A.C.: Robotics in the fifth industrial revolution. In: 2022 IEEE 14th International Conference on Humanoid, Nanotechnology, Information Technology, Communication and Control, Environment, and Management (HNICEM), pp. 1–6 (2022). IEEE
 - [22] Huang, S., Wang, B., Li, X., Zheng, P., Mourtzis, D., Wang, L.: Industry 5.0 and society 5.0—comparison, complementation and co-evolution. *Journal of manufacturing systems* **64**, 424–428 (2022)
 - [23] Scholz, C., Cao, H.-L., Imrith, E., Roshandel, N., Firouzipouyaei, H., Burkiewicz, A., Amighi, M., Menet, S., Sisavath, D.W., Paolillo, A., et al.: Sensor-enabled safety systems for human-robot collaboration: A review. *IEEE Sensors Journal* (2024)
 - [24] Vanderborght, B.: Unlocking the potential of industrial human–robot collaboration: A vision on industrial collaborative robots for economy and society. Publications Office of the European Union (2020)
 - [25] Fang, W., An, Z.: A scalable wearable ar system for manual order picking based on warehouse floor-related navigation. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* **109**(7), 2023–2037 (2020)

- [26] Reif, R., Günthner, W.A.: Pick-by-vision: augmented reality supported order picking. *The Visual Computer* **25**(5), 461–467 (2009)
- [27] Heerink, M., Kröse, B., Evers, V., Wielinga, B.: Assessing acceptance of assistive social agent technology by older adults: the almere model. *International Journal of Social Robotics* **2**(4), 361–375 (2010)
- [28] Davis, F.D.: Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS quarterly*, 319–340 (1989)
- [29] Venkatesh, V., Morris, M.G., Davis, G.B., Davis, F.D.: User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS quarterly*, 425–478 (2003)
- [30] Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., Lang, A.-G.: Statistical power analyses using g* power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior research methods* **41**(4), 1149–1160 (2009)